



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS  
Washington, D.C. 20231

SERIAL NUMBER	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED APPLICANT	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
08/078,768	06/16/93	TULLIS	R PMB9658

18M2/0727  
TOWNSEND & TOWNSEND, KHOURIE & CREW  
ONE MARKET  
STEUART STREET TOWER  
20TH FLOOR  
SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94105

MARTINEK, JER	
ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
1804	37

DATE MAILED:

EXAMINER INTERVIEW SUMMARY RECORD

07/27/95

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Mr. Weber (3) \_\_\_\_\_  
(2) Mr. Martinelli (4) \_\_\_\_\_

Date of interview 07/19/95

BEST AVAILABLE COPY

Type: ☒ Telephonic ☐ Personal (copy is given to ☐ applicant ☐ applicant's representative).

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: ☐ Yes ☐ No. If yes, brief description: None.

Agreement ☐ was reached with respect to some or all of the claims in question. ☒ was not reached.

Claims discussed: 71.

Identification of prior art discussed: None.

Description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments:

Applicant asserted that one of skill in the art given the instant application would be led to use stabilized oligonucleotides and would know how to use them and would be successful in their use without undue experimentation. Applicant pointed to the Rule 132 declarations of Drs. Schwartz and Ruth. Examiner maintained PTO position that the instant application does not provide enough information to guide one of skill in the art to the use of stabilized oligonucleotides (broadly) as is outlined in previous Office actions. Applicant intends to file a Request for Reconsideration soon.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments, if available, which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

Unless the paragraphs below have been checked to indicate to the contrary, A FORMAL WRITTEN RESPONSE TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION IS NOT WAIVED AND MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW (e.g., items 1-7 on the reverse side of this form). If a response to the last Office action has already been filed, then applicant is given one month from this interview date to provide a statement of the substance of the interview.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview.

☐ Since the examiner's interview summary above (including any attachments) reflects a complete response to each of the objections, rejections and requirements that may be present in the last Office action, and since the claims are now allowable, this completed form is considered to fulfill the response requirements of the last Office action.

Examiner's Signature